


Sept


7

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z





A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z



U
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Gordon Att vs F L Shaw 1


"	vs	J M Robertson	2
"		Bissell Fleming	3
"		De F Armstrong	4
"		E M Smith	5
"		Willie Cutbush	6
"		Wm G Uridge	7
"		J R Hyde	8
"		M J Andrew	9
"		W W Stocker	10
"		E D Dorris	11
"		F R Green	12
"		E D Simons	13
"		Mary E Hodges	14
"		E J Walton	15
"		J H Walton	16
"		E M Carter	17
"		E E Burke	18
"		C C Stevenson	19
"		Isaac L Walton	20
"		James Seadler	21
"		Geo Elliott	22
"		W A Washer	23
"		J J Russell	24
"		C H Thorpe	25
"		J E Dix	26
"		W H Knapp	27
"		J E Paddock	28
"		Geo B Freeman	29
"		L E Ishleman	30
"		H W Snow	34
"		C E Harrison	31
"		W J Bennett	32

Hugless vs Mankill 114


G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z

Johnson R. R. and Hall 125.

J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z



M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z




P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z


Thompson vs. Eisen

119.

S
T
U
V
W
Y
Z



V
W
Y
Z



No. 7

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Alex Gordon

vs.

Plaintiff

Action

Demand, \$ 100 ⁰⁰

Geo B Graham
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Plaintiff

7 L Shaw

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31

Complaint filed and summons issued

No.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 100⁰⁰

vs.

Geo B Graham

Attorney for Plaintiff

J M Robertson

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892Dec 31Complaint filed and summons issued

No.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

		Action
<u>Alex Gordon</u>	Plaintiff	
vs.		
<u>Bissell Fleming</u>	Defendant	Demand, \$ <u>100</u> ^{<u>00</u>}
		<u>Geo B Graham</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

¹⁸⁹²
Dec 31 Complaint filed and summons issued

No.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 100 ⁰⁰/₁₀₀De F Armstrong

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892Dec31Complaint-foled and summons issued

No.

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,

County of State of California.

		Action
<i>Alex Gordon</i>	Plaintiff	
vs.		Demand, \$ <i>100</i> ^{<i>00</i>}
		<i>Geo B Graham</i>
		Attorney for Plaintiff
<i>C M Smith</i>	Defendant	
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec' 31

Complaint filed and summons issued

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 100 ⁰⁰

vs.

Willie Cuthbuth

Defendant

Geo B Graham

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31 Complaint filed. Summons issued

No. 7

In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

		Action
<u>Alex Gordon</u>	Plaintiff	Demand, \$ <u>100</u> ⁰⁰
<u>vs.</u>		
<u>William G. Bridge</u>	Defendant	<u>Geo B Graham</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31 Complaint filed - Summons issued

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of

Fresno

State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 100 ⁰⁰/₁₀₀

J N Hyde

Defendant

Geo B Graham

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31

Complaint filed and Summons issued

No.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

US.

Demand, \$100 00

M J Andrew

Defendant

Geo B Graham
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31

Complaint filed and summons issued

No. 10

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 10 ⁰⁰/₁₀₀

Geo B. Graham

Attorney for Plaintiff

W W Stocker

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31 Complaint filed - - Summons issued

No. 11

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

		Action
Alex Gordon	Plaintiff	
vs.		Demand, \$ 100 00
C D Dorris		Geo B Graham Attorney for Plaintiff
	Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892
Dec 31

Complaint filed ~ Summons issued

No. 12

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Third Township,
County of Fresno, State of California.

		Action
<u>Alex Gordon</u>	Plaintiff	Demand, \$ <u>20⁰⁰</u>
vs.		
<u>F R Green</u>	Defendant	<u>Geo B Graham</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892
Dec 31 Complaint filed. Summons issued

No. 13

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

		Action
Alex Gordon	Plaintiff	Demand, \$ 20
<small>v.s.</small>		
E D Simons	Defendant	Geo B Graham
		Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31

Complaint filed - summons issued

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

		Action
Alex Gordon	} Plaintiff	
vs.		Demand, \$ 25 ⁰⁰
Mary E Hodges	} Defendant	Geo B Graham
		Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31 Complaint filed — Summons issued

No.

13-

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of.

State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 23-

US.

S J Walton

Defendant

Geo B Graham
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31

Complaint filed - Summons issued

In the Justice's Court of Thred Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 12

Geo B Graham

Attorney for Plaintiff

J H Wallon

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec

31

Complaint filed - summons issued

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

		Action
<u>Alex Gordon</u>	Plaintiff	
vs.		Demand, \$ <u>25</u>
<u>C M Carter</u>	Defendant	<u>Geo B Graham</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31

Complaint filed

Summons issued

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of _____ State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 25⁰⁰

Geo B Graham
Attorney for Pl

Attorney for Plaintiff

E R Burke

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31

Complaint filed

Summons issued

No. 19

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

		Action
Alex Gordon <i>vs.</i> Plaintiff		Demand, \$1.00
C C Stevenson Defendant		Geo B Graham Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31

Complaint filed ~ Summons issued

In the Justice's Court of Thirle Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

US.

Isaac L Wallow

Defendant

Action

Demand, \$

20 2

Geo B Graham

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec

31

Complaint filed

Summons issued

No. 21

In the Justice's Court of Thur Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

		Action
<u>Alex Gordon</u>	Plaintiff	Demand, \$ <u>50⁰⁰</u>
vs.		
<u>Gas Seadler</u>	Defendant	<u>Geo B Graham</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31 Complaint filed - Summons issued

No. 22

In the Justice's Court of Thur Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 25-⁰⁰

Geo Elliott

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31 Complaint filed -. Summons issued

No. 23

In the Justice's Court of Thirteenth Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action

Plaintiff

Demand, \$100⁰⁰

vs.

Geo B Graham
Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec

31 Complaint filed - Summons issued

No. 24

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

US.

Demand, \$/00 50

Geo B Graham
Attorney for Plaintiff

J J Russell

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31 Complaint filed - Summons issued

No. 257

In the Justice's Court of Thur's Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 20

Geo B Graham

Attorney for Plaintiff

C H Thorp

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31

Complaint filed ~

Summons issued

No. 26

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of _____

Fresno

State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 50 00

US.

Geo B Graham

Attorney for Plaintiff

S E Dix

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31

Complaint filed - Summons issued

No.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 100⁰⁰Geo B Graham

Attorney for Plaintiff

W H Knapp

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892Dec 31Complaint filed ~ Summons issued

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

		Action
Alex Gordon	Plaintiff	
v/s.		Demand, \$ 25 ⁰⁰
J E Paddock	Defendant	Geo B Graham Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892
Dec 31 Complaint filed , Summons issued

No. 29

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____ State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 350 ⁰⁰

vs.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo B Freeman

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892
Dec 31 Complaint filed ~ Summons issued

No. 30

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action

Alexander Gordon

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 100

L E Eshleman

Defendant

Geo B Graham

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31 Complaint filed -

Summons issued

No. 31

In the Justice's Court of *Third* Township,
County of *Fresno* State of California.

		Action
<i>Alex Gordon</i>	Plaintiff	Demand, \$ <i>10</i> ^{<i>00</i>}
<i>vs.</i>		
<i>C E Harrison</i>	Defendant	<i>Geo B Graham</i> Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 31

Complaint filed —

Summons issued

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

		Action
Alex Gordon	Plaintiff	
vs.		Demand, \$ 250 ⁰⁰
W J Bennett	Defendant	Geo B Graham Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892
Dec 31

Complaint filed.

Summons issued

No.

In the Justice's Court of

Ihr'd

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action

Alex Gordon

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 20⁰⁰

vs.

F. R. Green

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892

Dec 31

Complaint filed. Summons issued

No. 34

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

		Action
Alex Gordon	Plaintiff	
vs.		
		Demand, \$ 20 ⁰⁰
		Attorney for Plaintiff
H W Snow	Defendant	
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1892	Complaint filed.	Summons issued
Dec 31		

No. 35-

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

F.P. Wickham John McMullen
vs. F.M. Green

Plaintiff

vs.

The city of Fresno The city of Fresno
School District J.P. Vincent W.W. Shipp
John Doe W.R. Roe

Defendant

Action AccountDemand, \$ 161 00Cory & Maister

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb 24 1893 complaint filed.
" 24 1894 summons issued.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

E. D. Merriam and N. E.
Rudd

Plaintiff

vs.

The City of Fresno The city of Fresno
school district Plaintiff N. E. Shipp
John Doe & Richard Roe

Defendant

Action

Demand, \$ 29.07

Cory and Manette

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb 1894
25 complaint filed.
24 summons issued.

No. 37

In the Justice's Court of 3rd

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action acct

H L Dodge

Plaintiff

Demand, \$299⁰⁰

vs.

L S Cory & N. M. Mauthe

Attorney for Plaintiff

The city of Fresno The city of Fresno
school District J P Vincent W. N. Shupp
John Doe & Richard Roe

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

March 2 complaint filed.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action

M Hughes

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 299⁰⁰

J L Cory J D Mautru
Attorney for Plaintiff

The city of Fresno The city of Fresno
school district of Plaintiff & R Ship Johnson
OR Roe Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 8/43

PROCEEDINGS.

March 6 complaint filed.

No. 39

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action DebtL. B. Cory

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 66.18

vs.

Cory Mauller

Attorney for Plaintiff

The City of Fresno, The City of Fresno school district
William H. M. Ship for one Richard Roe

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

March 6 complaint filed.

In the Justice's Court of Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action

J M Cory

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 57.75-

vs.

The City of Fresno The City of Fresno School District
Plaintiff vs. Defendant
The City of Fresno The City of Fresno School District
Plaintiff vs. Defendant

Defendant

Cory and Mantre

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1893

PROCEEDINGS.

March 6 complaint filed.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

		Action <u>Acct Damages</u>
<u>Fred Bettman</u>	Plaintiff	Demand, \$ <u>100.00</u>
<u>vs.</u>		
<u>Wm Fahy</u>	Defendant	<u>N S Cranford</u> Attorney for Plaintiff
		<u>M W Wush</u> Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS.

1893

March 23 complaint filed and summons issued.

" 25 summons returned as served this day and filed.

" 30 Defendant files answer.

April 1 Answer overruled by court

" 3 Deft files answer

" 10 case set for trial April 19 1893 at 2 Pm

" 19 Parties in court with their attorneys ready for trial
 Fred Bettman sworn and testified for Plaintiff
 Plaintiff rests
 H S Lewis John Burks Herbert P Bishop and Wm
 Fahy sworn and testified for Defndt
 Case argued and submitted
 The court takes the matter under advisement till
 April 22 1893 at 2 Pm

" 22 Judgment rendered for Plaintiff \$43.00 and costs
 Wherefore by reason of ~~this~~ ^{the} ~~defendant~~ and the
 premises it is ordered adjudged and decreed that
 the plaintiff Fred Bettman do have and recover
 of and from the Defendant Wm Fahy ~~do have~~
~~and recover of and from the Defendant the sum~~
 of \$43.00 and costs taxed at justice costs \$6.50
 Courtables costs \$2.00 being a total judgment
 of \$51.50 with interest thereon at the rate of seven
 per cent per annum from date hereof till
 paid.
 W Cucklin J.P.
 Done in the court this 22 day of April 1893

" 26 Notice of appeal filed

" " undertaking on appeal filed.

No. 47

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action.....

M. C. Hoag

v.s.

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 22⁰⁰

J. W. Dally

Attorney for Plaintiff

H. S. Baly

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 1st 1893

complaint filed and summons served

H. S. Baly

No. 43

In the Justice's Court of Thirion Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

Action Writ

McHoag

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 85-⁰⁰/₁₀₀

J. H. Daly

Attorney for Plaintiff

Calvin Bailey

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS.

April 1

complaint filed and summons issued.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.Dr Prince and J. S.
Shuman

Plaintiff

vs.

N. R. Johnson

Defendant

Action acctDemand, \$ 6²⁵-

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 8/13

PROCEEDINGS.

April 13 complaint filed and summons served.
" " summons returned as served this day and filed.

No. 174In the Justice's Court of Third Township,County of Fresno

State of California.

John Orr and Benjamin RopharoPartners doing business as the
Ideal Clothing House

Plaintiff

vs.

H. J. Hart

Defendant

Action AcctDemand, \$ 23.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

April 15 complaint filed.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action holding over

Mrs S. L. Studabaker

Plaintiff

Demand, \$

DC McDougall

Attorney for Plaintiff

Henry Singer

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

April 20 complaint filed and summons issued.

No. 47

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action Promiss note

Mary J. Murphy.

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 200 ⁰⁰

vs. W. D. Grady, M. J. Donahoe and

C. G. Sayle.

Defendant

T. L. Reel, A. C. Adwell

Attorney for Plaintiff

Stothen and Stothen

Attorney for Defendant

Donahoe

DATE

PROCEEDINGS.

1893
 April 21 Complaint filed.
 " 17 summons issued
 June 18 summons returned showing service on M. J. Donahoe
 and W. D. Grady in 3rd Township Fresno co Cal on
 June 16th 1894,
 " 28 answer of M. J. Donahoe filed
 July 14 W. D. Grady appears and enters a general demurrer.
 " 19 demurrer overruled and Defendant Grady files answer.
 " ~~19~~ Aug 11, 1894. case set for trial Aug 20 1894 at 9 am
 Aug 20 Defendant M. J. Donahoe in court ready for trial
 note filed and allowed in evidence filed as 54 a
 Plaintiff's note
 M. J. Donahoe sworn and testified for Defendant.
 C. G. Sayle " " " " "
 Objection as what Sayle owes Donahoe - sustained & caption
 Defendant's note
 Case argued and submitted.
 The court takes the matter under advisement.
 Sept 6 Judgment rendered for Plaintiff for \$200 ⁰⁰ with costs.
 Wherefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed that
 the Plaintiff Mary J. Murphy do have and recover
 of and from the Defendants M. J. Donahoe the
 sum of \$200 ⁰⁰ principal \$23.65 interest
 \$20 ⁰⁰ atty fee and costs taxed at Justice
 costs \$7 ⁰⁰ constables costs \$4.50 being a
 total judgment of \$468.50.

W. Richter
 Justice of the Peace
 Done in open court this 6th day of Sept 1894

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action Rejection

Mrs. C. L. Taylor.
vs.

Plaintiff

Demand, \$

J. V. Beharrell

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 28 ¹⁸⁹³ Complaint filed and summons issued.
" " summons returned as served this day and filed.
May 4 The defendant herein having been regularly served with summons and having failed to appear or answer the complaint for file herein the legal time for same having expired on motion of Plaintiff the default of said defendant J. V. Beharrell is hereby returned according to law. Wherefore by reason of said default and the premises it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff C. L. Taylor do have and recover of and from the defendant the possession of the house situated on the cor of D and San Benito street. also for the sum of \$30⁰⁰ rent due and costs taxed at Justice Costs \$7⁵⁰ Court Costs \$2⁵⁰.

W. O. Crichton

Justice of the Peace

Done in open court this 4 day and May 1893

" writ of substitution issued.

No. 49

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

		Action
<u>H. C. Warner.</u>	Plaintiff	
vs.		
<u>A. C. Rance.</u>	Defendant	Demand, \$ 160.90
		Attorney for Plaintiff \$
		Attorney for Defendant \$

DATE

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

April 28 complaint filed.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action Damages

J. W. Stayton

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 50⁰⁰

Geo Hood

Attorney for Plaintiff

L. Fagundes

Defendant

James H. Healey

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1893

May 1 Complaint, summons, motion stipulation & return
note of appeal and undertaking. Transcript of docket
from Recorder's court filed.

" 2 case set for trial May 6th 1893 at 9 am

" 4 subpoena issued for defendant.

" 5 " returned and filed.

" 6 Parties in court and by consent continued till
12 P.M.

7 P.M. Parties in court with their attorney ready for trial.
J. W. Stayton sworn and testified for Plaintiff.

Plaintiff rests

Mr. A. Harrison J. W. Smith L. Fagundes. sworn
and testified for defense

case continued by consent till May 15th 1893 at 11 A.M.

May 15 On Motion of plff's atty the above entitled action is
hereby continued indefinitely. Eldo Blau Justice Acting

June 1

" 10 Judgment rendered for defendant for costs—

wherefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed that
the Plaintiff take nothing by reason of this
action and that the defendant do have and
recover of and from the Plaintiff costs taxed
at justice costs \$5⁰⁰ constables costs \$2⁰⁰ witness
fees \$4⁰⁰ being a total judgment of \$11⁰⁰ with interest
thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from
date when the said

M. C. Clifton J.P.

done in open court this 10 day of June 1893

No. 57

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of

Fresno

State of California.

Action acct

A. J. Jim.

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 4 31

vs.

Ben Lopovich John Lopovich
and E. S. Auburn

Defendant

J. S. Crawford

Attorney for Plaintiff

P. Wolcott

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893

PROCEEDINGS.

- May 5 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.
 summons and writ of attachment issued.
- " " summons returned as served this day and filed.
- " " Personall appeared the Defendant herein and having
 given notice to Plaintiff ask the court to permit
 them to file an undertaking on release of attachment.
 The court grants the motion.
- " " undertaking with two sureties amount \$500 filed.
 ordered that all the property attached be and is
 hereby released.
- May 11 Defendants answer by general denial

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of _____

Fresno

State of California.

Action acct

R N Brouhard

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 4 50

US.

a stickel and John Doe
Stickel

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 893

PROCEEDINGS.

May 18 Complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.
summons and writ of attachment issued.
" " Summons returned as served this day and filed.

11 summons returned as served this day and filed.

No. 53

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action accJ. W. Lockwood

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 117.51

vs.

J. N. Crow

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893

PROCEEDINGS.

May 20 complaint filed and ~~summons~~ summons issued.
 " 22 summons returned as served this day and filed.
 " 26 Deposition filed Drumm and order for bill of Particulars.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

<u>Donato Epifania</u> vs. <u>J. H. Bassin</u>		Action <u>acct</u>
		Demand, \$ <u>6⁰⁰</u>
Plaintiff		Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1893

PROCEEDINGS.

May 26 Complaint filed and ~~summons~~ summons issued.
 " 11 summons returned as served this day & filed.
 " 29 Defendant files answer
 " " Case set for trial June 1. 1893 at 10 am
 June 1 Parties in Court ready for trial.
 Donato Epifania sworn Sargent for Impresture.
 R. Hammond. sworn and testified for Plaintiff.
 Plaintiff calls
 J. H. Bassin G. A. Burton sworn and testified for
 defense
 Case submitted with out argument.
 The court takes the matter under advisement.

No. 55

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of

Fresno

State of California.

A W Anderson

Plaintiff

vs.

Mary C Worth

Defendant

Action

Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

May 26 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
summons and writ of attachment issued.

" 27 summons returned as served this day and filed.

June 7 The defendant herein having been regularly
served with summons and having failed to
appear answer or demurrer to the complaint
on file herein the legal time for same having
expired on motion of Plaintiff the default of
said defendant is hereby returned according to
law.

Wherefore by reason of said default and the
premises it is ordered adjudged and decreed
that the Plaintiff A W Anderson do have
and recover of and from the defendant
Mary C. Worth the sum of \$48.30 with costs
taxed at justice costs \$8.00ocketables costs 6.00
being a total judgment of \$62.85 with interest
thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from
date hereof till paid

M D Crichton J.P.

Done in open court this 7th day of June 1893

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action Money note

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ bal 295-70

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS.

\$ 1.50

May 29 1893 complaint filed.
May 21 1894 Summons issued

1895

May 13 Now comes Plaintiff in this action and
on his motion this cause is dismissed
without prejudice

Respectfully,
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of

Fresno

State of California.

Colomba Ratto

Plaintiff

vs.

Es Auburn and
Ben Lopezich

Defendant

Action

Demand, \$ 200⁰⁰

Jas. Gallagher

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1893

PROCEEDINGS.

May 29 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.

Summons and writ of attachment issued.

" 31 summons and writ of attachment returned & filed.

June 5 The defendant herein Ben Lopezich. appears and acknowledges service of a copy of the summons and complaint. on May 29 1893.

" The defendants herein Es Auburn & Ben Lopezich having been regularly served with summons and having failed to appear & answer the complaint on file herein the legal time for same having expired on motion of Plaintiffs attorney the defendants of said defendants are hereby entered according to law.

Wherefore by reason of said default and the premises it is now adjudged and decreed that the plaintiffs Colomba Ratto do have and recover of and from the defendants the sum of \$200⁰⁰ together with costs taxed at Justice Courts \$8⁰⁰

Costs \$8⁰⁰ being a total judgment of \$207⁰⁰ with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date being first paid.

Done in open court this 5th day of June 1893

Execution issued.

W. Brighton J.P.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Gas A Waterman		Action acct
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand, \$ 3 ⁰⁰
A. Shock		Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1893
June 13 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment
filed
summons and writ of attachment issued.
" 13 summons returned as served this day and filed.

In the Justice's Court of

No. 59

County of Fresno

Third

Township,

State of California.

J. D. Briggs.

vs.

Plaintiff

Action

Demand, \$271 ⁶⁰/₁₀₀

H. C. Tupper

Attorney for Plaintiff

Joseph Sprinney.

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

June 15 complaint filed and summons issued.
 " 20 summons returned as served and filed
 " " Defendant files a demurrer.
 " 21 Time for hearing demurrer fixed for June 26 1893
 " 26 Demurrer overruled and defendant answers
 by general denial. orally.
 July 5 Parties in court ready for trial a jury being
 arrived the court proceeded to hear the cause.
 J. D. Briggs G. W. Wally. William Main Thos. P. Barrett.
 H. C. Tupper sworn and testified for Plaintiff. Plaintiff's
 Defendant moves for a nonsuit.
 The court takes the matter under advisement till July
 7th 1893 at 2 P. M.
 " 7 Motion denied.
 " " Parties in court ready for trial
 Joseph Sprinney. W^m Johnson J. D. Garman Mrs.
 Joseph Sprinney sworn and testified for Defense
 Defense rests
 J. D. Briggs called in rebuttal
 Memorandum offered and allowed in evidence filed as E. A.
 " " case argued and submitted.
 The court takes the matter under advisement till
 July 12 1893
 July 12 Judgment rendered for defendant for costs.
 Wherefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed
 that the Plaintiff take nothing by reason of this
 action and that the Defendant do have and recover
 of and from the Plaintiff costs taxed at Justice
 Costs \$8⁵⁰/₁₀₀ Constables Costs \$2⁵⁰/₁₀₀ witness fees \$6⁰⁰/₁₀₀
 being a total judgment of with interest thereon
 at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date
 hereof till paid
 W. Crichton J. P.
 Done in open court this 12 day of July 1893

In the Justice's Court of
County of Fresno

Third

Township,

State of California.

Action *acct*

Jas A Waterman

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ *75⁰⁰*

vs.

John W. Conger

Defendant

Tupper and Welch

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE *1893*

PROCEEDINGS.

June 23 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
summons and writ of attachment issued.
" 30 writ of attachment returned and filed
July 7 comes now the Plaintiffs herein and first having
gained consent of the court files an
amended complaint.
" " The court issues an amended summons
" " affidavit for Publication of summons filed.
" " order for Publication of summons issued.
Oct 18 affidavit of J. F. Church principal clerk of Fresno Weekly
Expositor (a newspaper) stating that the summons in
this case was published in said newspaper from July
12th 1893 to Sept 13 1893 inclusive.
affidavit filed.

It appearing from said affidavit that more than thirty
days have elapsed since the service of the summons
in this case by publication, and the defendant
herein John W. Conger failing to appear answer
or answer the complaint on file herein the legal
time for same having expired on motion of H. H.
Welsh Esq one of Plaintiffs attorneys the default of
said defendant John W. Conger is hereby entered
according to law.

Wherefore by reason of said default and the premises
it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiffs
Jas. A. Waterman do have and recover of and
from the defendant John W. Conger the sum of
\$ *75⁰⁰* and costs taxed at Publication of summons
\$ *20⁰⁰* Courtables fees \$ *14⁸⁰* Keepers fees \$ *24⁰⁰*
Justice costs \$ *8⁵⁰* being a total judgment of \$ *142.30*
with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent
per annum from date hereof till paid

W. D. Erickson

Done in open court this 18 day of Oct 1893

Oct 19 Execution issued - waterman.

No. 61

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

A C Santa		Plaintiff	Action
vs.			
Geo Mand		Defendant	Demand, \$ 135 ⁰⁰
			Attorney for Plaintiff
			Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

8/93

PROCEEDINGS.

June 27 complaint filed affidavit and undertaking for
attachant filed.
summons and writ of attachant issued.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

County of Fresno State of California.

R R Ruben and R Ruben partners

Action Promissory note

doing business as
R Ruben and son

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 78-25-

vs.

C. A. McCorory

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893

PROCEEDINGS.

July 24 complaint filed.
" 14 summons issued.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action Acct

O P Tuller

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 30

Attorney for Plaintiff

Jack Barrett

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 29 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
summons and writ of attachment issued.
Sept 5 summons and writ of attachment returned as
served in 3rd Township Fresno co Cal and filed.

" 13 The defendant herein having been regularly
served with summons and having failed to
appear answer or answer the complaint
on file herein the legal time for same having
expired on motion of Plaintiff the default
of said defendant is hereby entered according
to law.

Wherefore by reason of said default and the
premises it is ordered adjudged and decreed
that the Plaintiff O P Tuller do have and
recover of and from the defendant Jack
Barrett the sum of \$30.00 together with costs
taxed at justice costs \$8.50 constables costs \$5.85
being a total judgment of \$44.35 with interest
thereon at the rate of seven per cent per
annum from date hereof till paid.

W. Matheson

Justice of the Peace

Done in open court this 13 day of Sept 1893

Oct 24 execution issued

-Matheson.

Nov 6 affidavit and order for examination of Jack Barrett
the judgment debtor herein showing service on said
Barrett of the above affidavit and order.

Time for examination of said debtor fixed for Nov. 9. 1893. at 2 P.M.

" 9 parties in court Jack Barrett sworn to answer questions.
" The court takes the matter under advisement till Nov 13
1893 at 2 P.M.

No. 64

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

The people of the state of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action

Demand, \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

No. 65

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

L. H. Hunted

Plaintiff

Action

Demand, \$ 250

vs.

Grant Shores and Jane Doe
Shores his wife

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1843
Aug 8 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.
summons and writ of attachment issued.
" " writ of attachment returned and filed.
" 12 summons returned as served and filed.
" " Defendant files answer.

No. 66

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action

Geo Edwards

Plaintiff

Demand, \$

375

Lockwood

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1883

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 16 complaint of fiduciary and undertaking for attachment
filed
Summons and writ of attachment issued.
" 17 summons and writ of attachment returned and paid.

No. 67

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

J^m Bettinidge

Plaintiff

vs.

W^m Helms

Defendant

Action acct

Demand, \$ 17.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 8/93

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 17 complaint filed and summons served.
" 18 summons returned as served this day on W^m Helms
in 3rd township and filed.

In the Justice's Court of
County of Fresno

Third

Township,

State of California.

Jacob Hockenbury
vs.

Plaintiff

J. Morrison
Defendant

Defendant

Action

Demand, \$

820

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 16 complaint filed and summons issued.

" 23 Defendant enters a general denial. orally

" " Case set for trial Aug 26 1893 at 10 am

" 24 subpoena issued.

" " subpoena returned and filed.

" 26 Parties in court ready for trial.

" " Jacob Hockenbury J.M. Ball sworn and testified for Plaintiff

Plaintiff rests

J.M. Morrison ^{sworn} and testified for defense.

Bill and assignment filed in evidence & ex a case submitted without argument.

Judgment rendered for Plaintiff for \$820 & costs

wherefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed

that the Plaintiff Jacob Hockenbury do have

and recover of and from the defendant

J. Morrison the sum of \$820.00 together with

costs taxed at Justice costs \$5.30 Courtables costs

\$5.30 being a total judgment of \$19.11 with

interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent

per annum from date hereof till paid.

W.D. Crichton

Done in open Court this 26 day of Aug 1893

150
800
950

No. 69

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

L. C. Smith

Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Scoggins

Defendant

Action

Demand, \$ 122.15-

Thompson & Prince

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 16 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.
 summons and writ of attachment issued.

" 21 summons returned as served ^{Aug 19 1893} in 8th Township
 Fresno cal on A. J. Scoggins and filed.

" " writ of attachment returned and filed.

" 30 The defendant herein having been regularly served
 with summons and having failed to appear
 demur or answer the complaint on file herein
 the legal time for same having expired on
 motion of Plaintiffs Attorney the default of said
 defendant A. J. Scoggins is hereby entered
 according to law.

Wherefore by reason of said default and the
 premises it is ordered adjudged and decreed
 that the plaintiffs do have and recover of
 and from the defendant the sum of \$122.15-
 together with costs taxed at Justice costs \$7.50
 Constables costs \$7.90 ^{sum. fees \$18.00} being a total judgment of
 with interest thereon at the rate of seven
 per cent per annum from date hereof till paid.

W. C. Crighton

Justice of the Peace

Done in open court this 30 day of Aug 1893

" " Execution issued. Prince

Sept 12 assignment of judgment filed

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action acct

Jessie B. Dissemus

Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Cook and Richard Roe Langley
Partners doing business as
Cook and Langley

Defendant

Demand, \$ 2 90

J. S. Carter

Attorney for Plaintiff

D. M. Scelvi

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 24 complaint filed and summons issued.

Nov 15 affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.

Writ of attachment issued.

" 16 Defendants by their attorney D. M. Scelvi answer

" " Defendant having obtained leave of court files his amended complaint.

agreed that defendants answer will apply to amended complaint.

Jessie B. Dissemus D. Dissemus. Viola Hunt sworn and testified for Plaintiff.

Plaintiff rests.

J. F. McDonald Edward Sanborn sworn and testified for defense.

Viola Hunt Jessie B. Dissemus. called in rebuttal.

case continued til Nov 20 1893 at 1 P.M.

" 20 case submitted.

Judgment rendered for Plaintiff for \$2.90 costs -

wherefore it is ordered as judged and decreed

that the plaintiff Jessie B. Dissemus do

have and recover of and from the deft

The Cook and Langley Co a corporation the sum

of \$2.90 together with costs taxed at later costs -

interest for \$4.40 constables costs \$6.00 papers fees \$6.00 being

a total judgment of \$25.80 with interest thereon

at the rate of seven per cent per annum

from date judgment till paid.

Writtens
further steps were
Done in open court this 20 day of Nov 1893

No. 71

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Trinity State of California.

Action acct

N. H. Barton.

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 90.⁰⁰/₁₀₀

Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo. F. Mothersole.

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893

PROCEEDINGS.

aug 19 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment
filed.
summons and writ of attachment issued.

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of

Fresno

State of California.

Action *Promissory note*

J. H. Redden

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ *25⁰⁰*

vs.

J. F. Smith

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 25 complaint filed.

No. 7-3

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action

Promissory note

A H Redden

Plaintiff

Demand, \$

37 ⁵⁰/₁₀₀

vs.

J D Lull

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 25 complaint filed.

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action Promissory note

C. T. Little

Plaintiff

Demand, \$

vs.

J. F. Harrington

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 1, 1893
Complaint filed.

Sept 1

No. 75-

In the Justice's Court of *Thirteenth* Township,
County of *Fresno* State of California.

Action *Property etc.**Susan Rawlings* Plaintiff

vs.

Edward J. Linforth

Defendant

Demand, \$ *74⁰⁰**Terry William*

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 13 complaint filed.
" 12 summons issued.

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of

Furno

State of California.

Action

Promissory note

T. R. Rickford

Plaintiff

vs.

N. M. Burton and

J. F. Burton

Defendant

Demand, \$

20.00

E. D. Edwards

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 14 complaint filed affidavit and undertaking for
 attachment filed.
 summons and writ of attachment issued.
 " 27 summons returned as served and filed.

No. 77 3rd Township,
 In the Justice's Court of
 County of Furno State of California.

Action Promissory note

John Mallow

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 125⁰⁰

Dr. P. M. Mallow

Attorney for Plaintiff

Sam Hap.

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 16 complaint affidavit and indenturing for attachment
1894 filed.
March 1 summons and writ of attachment issued.

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action acct

Baird Bros

Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs R. J. Storie alias C Bell
McLavock

Defendant

Demand, \$ 42.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 25 complaint filed.

No. 79

3rd

Township,

In the Justice's Court of

County of Fresno State of California.

Richard George Satter
Plaintiff
vs.

Action Acct for work & labor

Demand, \$30.75

H. Hoakian
Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893

PROCEEDINGS.

- Sept 27 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment for summons and writ of attachment issued.
- Nov 14 summons returned showing service on H. Hoakian in 2nd Township, Fresno co on Nov 11 1893 Filed
- " 17 The defendant herein having been regularly served with summons and having failed to appear answer or answer the complaint on file herein the legal time for same having expired on motion of Plaintiff's attorney the default of said defendant H. Hoakian is hereby entered according to law.

Wherefore by reason of said default and the premises it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the Plaintiff R.G. Satter do have and recover of and from the defendant H. Hoakian the sum of \$30.75 together with costs taxed at justice costs \$8.00 Constables costs \$7.35 being a total judgment of \$46.60 with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date hereof till paid.

W.D. Crichton
Justice of the Peace

Done in open court this 17 day of Nov 1893

- " 17 execution issued
- " 27 abstract of judgment.

Matthew

In the Justice's Court of

32d

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action assign acct

J L Wenteper

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 172 40

vs.

J Mc Dougald

Defendant

S Jackson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 27 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment per summons and writ of attachment issued.

No. 81

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

R B Johnson		}	Action
Plaintiff			Demand, \$
vs.			
John F Hamilton			E. W. Rely
Defendant			Attorney for Plaintiff
			Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 27¹⁸⁹³ complaint filed.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Robert Edmiston

Plaintiff

vs.

H. A. Tull. James Robinson

Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand, \$ 143.38

H. C. Tupper

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 30 complaint filed.

Sept

No.

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of _____ State of California.

_____		Action
<i>R B Johnson</i>	} Plaintiff	_____
vs.		Demand, \$ <i>107 50</i>
<i>Bin Dove</i>	} Defendant	_____ Attorney for Plaintiff
_____		_____ Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS.

1893
Sept 30 complaint filed.

In the Justice's Court of
County of Fresno

3rd

Township,

State of California.

Action AcctA. F. Smith.

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 7⁵⁰

vs.

Sid. Lewellin.

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 6 complaint filed and summons issued
 " 5 summons returned as served in 3rd Township
 and filed.
 " 15 The defendant herein having been regularly served
 with summons and having failed to appear
 demur or answer the complaint on file herein
 the legal time for same having expired on motion
 of Plaintiff the default of said Defendant Sid
 Lewellin is hereby entered according to law.
 Wherefore by reason of said default and the
 premises it is ordered adjudged and decreed that
 the Plaintiff A. F. Smith do have and recover
 of and from the defendant Sid Lewellin the
 sum of \$7⁵⁰ together with costs taxed at Justice
 costs \$5⁰⁰ constables costs \$2⁶⁵ being a
 total judgment of \$15.65 with interest thereon at
 the rate of seven per cent per annum from
 date hereof till paid.

W. D. Crichton

Justice of the Peace

done in open court this 15th day of Oct 1893

NOT-2, notice of appeal filed.

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Lawrence Peck

Plaintiff

Action Conversion

Demand, \$ 205⁰⁰

vs.

Jay Scott and
Harry Chapman

Defendant

Terry D. Williams

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1893
Oct 6 Complaint filed and summons issued.
" 16 Defendant files answer
Nov 7 Case set for trial Nov 3 1893 at 9 am
" 3 Defendant first having obtained leave of the court files an amended answer.
" " subpoena issued for Plaintiff
" " subpoena returned and filed.
" " 10 am case continued till Nov 3rd 1893 at 11 am
" " 11 am Parties in court with their attorneys ready for trial.
L.P. Timmons Mrs. M. Peck M.F. Nottingham sworn and testified for Plaintiff.
" " the court took recess till 1.30 this date -
" " 1.30 Parties in court, court resumed.
F.M. Cabel General M.W. Muller Lawrence G. Peck.
sworn and testified for Plaintiff.
Plaintiff rests
motion to dismiss as against Harry Chapman. Denied.
Harry Chapman Mills Wallace sworn and testified for Defendant.
The court takes the matter under advisement.
Judgment rendered for Plaintiff for \$170.00 costs -
Wherefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the Plaintiff Lawrence Peck do have and recover of and from the Defendant Jay Scott and Harry Chapman the sum of \$170.00 and costs taxed at Justice costs \$8.00 Constables fees \$11.00 witness fees \$36.80 making a total judgment of \$226.30 with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date hereof till paid.

H.D. Crichton

Justice of the Peace

Done in open court this 9 day of Nov 1893

\$12.00 pd

Nov 21 notice of appeal filed.
" " motion to set aside or appeal filed.
" 22 notice of 24 caption to supporting grounds filed.
" 27 motion to set aside or appeal filed.
Transcript sent to court Dec 7 1893

In the Justice's Court of
County of Fresno

Third

Township,

State of California.

Action Accr

M Ropheno

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 5²⁵

O P Wilson

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 9 complaint filed affidavit & undertaking for attachment filed.
summons and writ of attachment issued.

" " writ of attachment returned and filed.

" 13 summons returned as served this day on O.P.
Wilson in 3rd Township Fresno co cal and filed.

" 19 The defendant herein O.P. Wilson having been regularly
served with summons and having failed to appear
demur or answer the complaint on file herein
the legal time for same having expired on
motion of Plaintiff the default of said defendant
is hereby entered according to law.

Wherefore by reason of said default and the
premises it is ordered adjudged and decreed
that the Plaintiff M Ropheno do have and
recover of and from the defendant O.P. Wilson
the sum of \$5²⁵ together with costs taxed at
justice costs \$7⁵⁰ constables costs \$6⁰⁰ being a
total judgment of \$18.75 with interest thereon at the
rate of seven per cent per annum from date
hereof till paid.

J D Richter

Justice of the Peace

Done in open Court this 19 day of Oct 1893

" " Execution issued

. Weather

5.25
7.50
6.00
18.75

No.

87

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action *Acct**R. F. Hollingshed*

Plaintiff

Demand, \$10 *50*

vs.

L. P. Russell

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 14 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
summons and writ of attachment issued.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Furno State of California.

Action Acct

Fred Hurst

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 62 00

vs.

Mrs J.P. Burnett

Defendant

W.P. Thompson

Attorney for Plaintiff

1546 J. Hunt

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 23 complaint filed and summons issued.
Nov 14 summons returned showing service on Mrs J.P.
Burnett in 3^d Township Furno co on Nov 10 1893 filed
" 15 Defendant answers generally to plaintiffs complaint, orally.

No. 89

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

<u>Mattingly and Stainford</u>	}	Action <u>Acct</u>
Plaintiff		
vs.		
<u>J.R. Ellis</u>	}	Demand, \$ <u>23²⁰</u>
Defendant		
		Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 24 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed
summons and writ of attachment issued.
" 31 summons returned showing service on J.R. Ellis in
4th Township Fresno co coll and filed.

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action *chain & delivery**H. R. Jacobs*

Plaintiff

Demand, \$

vs.

*M. D. Hendley and Mrs
M. D. Hendley*

Defendants

R. B. Terry & D. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE: 1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 28 complaint affidavit and undertaking for chain & delivery filed.
summons and order of seizure issued.

No.

91

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action *act**Nick Apicello*

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ *90*⁰⁰

vs.

Giuseppe Mazzelo

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 30 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.
summons and writ of attachment issued.
" " writ of attachment issued. returned and filed.

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action acct

Arthur Dean

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 161 ⁶⁰/₁₀₀

vs.

M. B. Lockman

Defendant

S. Johnson.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS.

1893

Oct 31 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.
 summons and writ of attachment issued.

J T Shanklin vs N^m Shaw
 costs \$7.50 Constables costs \$3.40 witness fees \$11.40
 being a total judgment of with interest thereon
 at the rate of seven per cent per annum from
 date hereof till paid.

J D Crichton

Justice of the Peace

Done in open court this 6 day of Dec 1893

Dec 11 1894 memorandum of costs filed.

Jan 5 notice of appeal filed.

" " undertaking on appeal filed

In the Justice's Court of

No. 93

Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

J. T. Shanklin.

Plaintiff

vs.

W^m Shaw.

Defendant

Action CommonDemand, \$ 75⁰⁰

S. Wright

Attorney for Plaintiff

D. M. Salton

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893

PROCEEDINGS.

- Nov 4 complaint filed and summons issued.
- " 6 summons returned showing service on W^m Shaw in 3rd Township Fresno co cal on Nov 6 1893 Filed.
- " 13 Defendant enters a formal demurrer.
- " 16 time for calling up demurrer given for Nov 17 1893 at 2 P M
- " 17 Defendant in court and demurrer overruled ^{and} defendant allowed five days to answer.
- " 21 Defendant files his answer.
- " 27 case set for trial ~~Nov~~ Dec 1 1893 at 9 am
- Dec 1 case continued by consent till 2 P M this date.
- " 2 P M Parties in court ready for trial
- J. T. Shanklin sworn and testified for Plaintiff.
- Bill of sale offered and allowed in evidence filed as ^{ex} 4 a
- Defendant objects to the introduction on the ground that G. Frank Abbot had no authority to make such an instrument that it does not convey the ^{property in} controversy. By overruled.
- W^m Shaw G. Frank Abbot. sworn and testified for Plaintiff.
- Minute book offered and allowed in evidence filed as ^{ex} B.
- J. Lehman sworn and testified for People.
- Plaintiff rests.
- Motion for a non-suit Denied.
- J. M. Hinds sworn and testified for Defense
- D. M. Salton " " " " "
- Defendant rests
- G. F. Abbot called in rebuttal.
- case argued & submitted
- The court takes the matter under advisement.
- Dec 6 Judgment rendered for Plaintiff for \$75⁰⁰ costs-
 therefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the Plaintiff J. T. Shanklin do have and recover of and from the defendant W^m Shaw the sum of \$75⁰⁰ and costs Taxed at Justice

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action *acct*

Frank Miller

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 12⁰⁰

Monroe Bridges

Defendant

J Jackson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov

4 complaint filed and summons issued.

"

24 summons returned showing service on Monroe Bridges in 3rd Township on Nov 23 1893 (filed).

Dec

19 Defendant failing to appear and answer his default is hereby entered.

Wherefore it is adjudged that Plaintiff have from the debt \$8⁰⁰ costs taxed at just costs \$6⁵⁰ constituting costs 2.65.

W D Cuckner

In testimony whereof the above
done in open court this 19th day of Dec 1893

"

19 Execution issued.

Dec

26 Execution returned satisfied and filed.

685

No. 95

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action *Acct*

Jacob Hockenbury

Plaintiff

vs.

Frank. Berry and Henry Berry.

Defendant

Demand, \$ 10⁰⁰

E D Edwards

Attorney for Plaintiff

Jas A Burns

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov

7 complaint filed and summons issued.

"

14 summons returned showing service on Henry Berry in 3rd Twp Fresno co cal on Nov 7 1893 also on Frank Berry on Nov 8 1893 in Fresno co cal summr filed.

"

15 Defendants file answer.

"

" case set for trial Nov. 21. 1893. at 2. P.M.

"

21 Parties in court ready for trial.

Jacob Hockenbury sworn and testified for Plaintiff.
Plaintiff rests.Frank Berry and Henry Berry sworn and testified for Def.
Case argued and submitted.

Township,

State of California.

Action

Plaintiff

Demand, \$.

US.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

No.

97

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action

H. P. Henry

Plaintiff

Demand, \$

vs.

Jacob Hansen and
Chris Nelson

Defendant

J. J. J. J.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov- 13 complaint filed and summons issued.

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of

Fresno

State of California.

Action

C.B. Jeffries

Plaintiff

Demand, \$

vs.

National Fruit Association
Incorporated

Defendant

J. J. Dwyer
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1893

PROCEEDINGS.

- Nov 13 complaint filed and summons issued.
 " 16 summons returned as served on H.M. Steel, agent for the National Fruit Association on Nov 16 1893 in 3rd Township Fresno Co. Cal.
 " 22 The defendant herein having been regularly served with summons and having failed to appear in court or answer the complaint on file herein the legal time for same having expired on motion of Plaintiff's attorney the default of said Defendant the National Fruit Association a corporation is hereby entered according to law.
 Wherefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the Plaintiff C.B. Jeffries do have and recover of and from the defendant the National Fruit Association the sum of \$202.50 and costs taxed at Justice costs \$6.50 constables costs \$2.50 being a total judgment of \$211.50 with interest thereon at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date hereof till paid.

W.D. Crichton

Justice of the Peace

Done in open court this 22 day of Nov 1893

- " 27 notice of motion to open default on Dec 2 1893 at 2 PM filed
 " " affidavit filed.
 " " By consent this judgment and default is vacated and set aside.
 " " Defendant answers by a general denial orally.
 April 1 Case set for trial April 14 1894 at 9 AM
 " 14 Parties in court ready for trial.
 C.B. Jeffries sworn and testified for Plaintiff.
 H.M. Steel sworn and allowed in evidence filed as Ex A
 Plaintiff rests
 H.A. Fairbanks sworn and testified for deft
 Case submitted.

No. 99

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

M. Goth.		}	Action
vs.			Demand, \$
E. A. Wemple	and		Attorney for Plaintiff
H. A. Wemple			Attorney for Defendant
		Plaintiff	
		Defendant	

DATE. 1893

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 14 Complaint filed and summons issued.

C. B. Jeffrie vs National Fruit Association.
Judgment rendered for Plaintiff for \$202⁵⁰ & costs.
Wherefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the
Plaintiff, C. B. Jeffrie do have and recover of and
from the Defendant National Fruit Association
the sum of \$202.50 with costs taxed at justice costs
\$8⁰⁰ constables costs \$2.50 being a total judgment
of \$213.00 with interest thereon at the rate of seven
per cent per annum from date hereof
paid

W. D. Richter
Justice of the Peace
Done in open Court this 14th day of April 1894

No. 100

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action

M Goth

Plaintiff

Demand, \$

vs.

J E Paddock

Defendant

J Jackson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

893

PROCEEDINGS.

NOR 14 complaint filed and summons issued.

No. 101

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

M Goth		Action
vs.	Plaintiff	Demand, \$
A. D. Ferguson		J. Jackson
	Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE 11/18/93 PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 14 complaint filed and summons issued.
" 24 summons returned showing service on A.D. Ferguson
in Fresno co on Nov 23 1893 & filed.
" 29 The defendant herein having been regularly served
with summons and having failed to appear answer
or answer the complaint on file herein the
legal time for same having expired on
motion of Plaintiff attorney the default of
said defendant is hereby entered according to law.
Wherefore it is ordered adjudged & decreed that
the plaintiff M Goth do have and recover
of and from the Defendant A.D. Ferguson
the sum of \$22.25 principal together with costs
taxed at Justice costs \$6.50 constables costs \$2.00
being a total judgment of \$31.75 with interest thereon
at the rate of seven per cent per annum from date
hereof till paid.
W. Crichton
Justice of the Peace
done in open court this 29 day of Nov- 1893
" " abstract of judgment issued.

No. 102

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

M. G. Roth		Action
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand, \$
N. B. Banta and Fred Banta		J. J. J. J. J.
Defendant		Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1/13

PROCEEDINGS.

NOT 14 complaint filed and summons issued.
" 24 Defendant Fred Banta files a demurrer.

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

H. H. Takahashi

Plaintiff

vs.

Geo M Bokes & S. S. Culver

Defendant

Action

Demand, \$

A. J. Jackson

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 11/19/93

PROCEEDINGS.

- Nov 15 complaint filed and summons issued.
 " 20 summons returned showing service on G. M. Bokes
 and S. S. Culver in 3rd Twp Fresno Co cal on Nov 18/93
 " 25 The defendants herein having been regularly served
 with summons and having failed to appear
 demur or answer the complaint on file herein
 the legal time for same having expired on
 motion of Plaintiff attorney the default of said
 defendants are hereby entered according to
 law.

Wherefore by reason of said default and the
 premises it is ordered adjudged & decreed
 that the plaintiff H. H. Takahashi do have
 and recover of and from the defendants
 Geo M Bokes and S. S. Culver the sum of \$96.20
 together with costs taxed at justice costs \$5.50
 Constables Costs \$5.50 being a total judgment
 of \$109.70 with interest thereon at the rate of seven
 per cent per annum from date being all
 paid.

W. D. Crighton

Justice of the Peace

Done in open court this 20 day of Nov 1893

DRC 2 Execution issued.

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Chain and deliver

Mary Bell

Plaintiff

Demand, \$

vs.

John Dor Fiddler and Richard
Roe Fiddler.

Defendant S

James Gallagher
Attorney for PlaintiffGeo L Hooc
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1893

Dec 11 complaint filed and summons issued.
 " 30 defendant enters a general demurrer
 1894
 Jan 2 Defendants file answer
 " " case set for trial Jan 6 1894 at 10 am
 " 6 Original Subpoena filed
 " " the hour for trial having arrived the court proceeded
 to try the case. the court sworn 10 witnesses
 and excluded them from the hearing of the court
 the plaintiff introduced evidence to prove his
 case then closed whereupon Deft attorney moved
 to dismiss on the grounds that the plaintiff
 had not made out a case. the court
 sustained the motion and ordered that
 judgment be entered as prayed for by Deft.
 Wherefore it is ordered adjudged and
 decreed that the Plaintiff Mary Bell
 take nothing from this Deft and that
 the Plaintiff Mary Bell pay the costs
 of this suit taxed as follows Justice's
 costs \$7⁰⁰ & constable costs \$5,50

No. 106

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Truman Hooper & Co

Plaintiff

vs.

Robert L. Crulman

Defendant

Action note

Demand, \$20.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1/8/94

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 20 complaint filed.

" 29 summons issued.

Jan 4 summons returned showing service on R.L. Crulman
Dec 30 1893 in 3rd Twp Fresno co cal & filed." 15 The defendant herein failing to appear answer
or answer the complaint on file herein the legal
time for same having expired on motion of
Plaintiff attorney the default of said defendant
is hereby entered according to law.

noted off and allowed in evidence files as & a
wherefore by reason of said default and the
premises it is ordered adjudged and decreed
that the plaintiffs do have and recover of and
from the defendant R.L. Crulman the sum
of \$20.00 principal \$12.00 interest together with
costs taxed at justice costs \$5.50 court costs \$3.00
being a judgment of \$41.50 with interest thereon at the
rate of seven per cent per annum from date hereof
till paid.

W.D. Richton
Justice of the Peace

Done in open court this 15th day of Jan 1894

No. 106

In the Justice's Court of

32d

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

F. H. Haworth

Plaintiff

Action acct

Demand, \$

vs.

Sid Lewallen

Defendant

Charles E. Brown

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894

PROCEEDINGS.

Jan 3 complaint filed and summons issued
" " summons returned served in 3rd Twp. This day

No. 107

In the Justice's Court of

Thrid

Township,

County of

Furno

State of California.

W. J. Burke

Plaintiff

Action *Prom note*Demand, \$ 182⁰⁰

S. Lewallen

vs.

Thompson & Price

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1894

PROCEEDINGS.

Jan 3 Complaint filed and summons issued.
 " " Summons returned showing notice on Sept in 3rd Twp
 Furno co cal this date & filed.

In the Justice's Court of
County of Fresno

3rd

Township,

State of California.

Action *Acct**M^{rs}. Hartnup.*

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ *4⁰⁰**J. Fircher.*

Defendant

W. Crawford

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1894

PROCEEDINGS.

*Feb**11*

*9 complaint filed and summons issued.
12 summons returned showing service on J. Fircher.
in 3rd Township Fresno co cal filed.*

*Feb**11*

No. 109

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action Promissory note

J. W. McDonald
vs.

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 100A. C. Williams

Attorney for Plaintiff

Milton B Day

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1894

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb 12 complaint, affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.
summons and writ of attachment issued.
" 12 summons returned showing service on M B Day
in 3rd Township Fresno co cal Feb 12 1894 J. J. J.

No. 110

In the Justice's Court of
County of Fresno

3rd

Township,

State of California.

Action Promissory Note

A. S. Statham

Plaintiff

Demand, \$250.00

J. R. Maultsby

Attorney for Plaintiff

R. G. Cardwell

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1894
April 21 complaint filed.

No. 111

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action *Acch**Al Hughes*

Plaintiff

vs.

*W H McKenzie**Jawatman*

Defendant

Demand, \$ *180**J. E. Edward*

Attorney for Plaintiff

W H Welch

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1894

PROCEEDINGS.

April 30 complaint filed and summons issued.*May 1* summons returned and filed.*" 5* Defendant files a demurrer.*" 8* time for hearing argument on demurrer fixed for *May 9 1894 at 10 am**" 9* Demurrer overruled and defendant allowed two days in which to answer.*" "* on motion of Plaintiff the case against *W H McKenzie* is hereby dismissed.*" 10* Defendant *J. A. Watman* answers by a general denial-orally.

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Jane Jacobs

Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Billingsly

Defendant

Action acct

Demand, \$ 6.00

J S Crawford

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 5/17/14

PROCEEDINGS.

May 17 Complaint filed and summons issued.

" 20 Summons returned showing service on Frank Billingsly in 3rd Dist Court Fresno col. col. filed.

No. 113

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

E Parret

Plaintiff

vs.

August Parret Frank Ballard

Defendant

Action acct

Demand, \$69⁰⁰

Attorney for Plaintiff

Thompson & Preece

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1894
 May 26 Complaint filed and summons issued.
 " 28 summons returned showing service on defts
 this day in 3rd Dept Fresno Co Ct filed.
 June 2 Defendant enters a general demurrer, orally.

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action *acct*

Thomas E. Hughes

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 92⁰⁰

L. L. Cory & L. R. Martin

Attorney for Plaintiff

W. W. Underhill

Defendant

G. H. Jones

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

June 20 complaint filed and summons issued.

June 19 Defendant files written demurrer

" " Defendant files demand for bill of particulars showing acknowledgment of service.

" " Defendant files written notice of motion to dismiss this action showing acknowledgment of service

" 24 At the time set parties in Court motion to dismiss argued and submitted and taken under advisement

" 27 The Court this day denies the motion of ~~Defendant~~ ^{Plaintiff} to dismiss,

July 1 Plaintiff files amended complaint.

" 8 Defendant files written answer

No. 115

In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action acctM. G. Watson

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ 10.00Mrs J. M. Martin

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894

PROCEEDINGS.

June 26 Complaint filed and warrant issued.
" 27 summons returned showing service on Mrs J M Martin
in 3rd Township Fresno co col June 26th 1894 filed

In the Justice's Court of

Third

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action *Acct**E. J. Hill.*

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ *5.00**Frank. Burris.*

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE *1894*

PROCEEDINGS.

*July 26 complaint filed**" 27 Personally appeared Frank Burris, the defendant herein, and waives service of a copy of complaint and summons and enters a general demurrer orally.**Aug 4 Defendant files answer and counter claim.*

No. 117

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

R B Johnson		} Action Promissory note
Plaintiff		
vs.		
Chas Moore		} Demand, \$ bal 31
Defendant		
		Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1894

PROCEEDINGS.

July 30 complaint filed.

No. 118

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action acct

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 42.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 7 1894 complaint filed.

No. 119

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

C. L. Thompson and
H. W. Cornell

Plaintiff

vs.

F. T. Eisen

Defendant

Action acst

Demand, \$ 270 =

W D Grady

Attorney for Plaintiff

C M Jennings

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1897

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug	7	complaint filed. summons issued.	1.25
Sept	24	alias summons issued.	
Oct	23	stipulation extending time to answer filed	25
Nov	7	Defendant files answer and cross complaint.	25
Jan	7	Case set for trial on Jan 15-95 at 9. A. M.	50
"	12	An statement of W D Grady atty for Ptf trial is continued without date.	50
"	26	An motion of atty for plaintiff trial set for Feb. 12/95 - 1 P. M.	50
Feb.	12	By agreement of counsel this cause is continued indefinitely.	

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of

Fresno

State of California.

Action acct

Chas Abel

Plaintiff

Demand, \$206.98

E Cirscher ^{vs.} & J Nimmer

Defendant

Jas Gallagher

Attorney for Plaintiff

W P Thompson

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 8 Complaint filed and summons issued.
 " 11 Summons returned showing due service filed.
 " 13 Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.
 Writ of attachment issued.
 Aug 15 Defendant Nimmer files a demurrer

No. 121

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action *acct**Steve Truitt*

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand, \$ *10.00**Bob Irving*

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 17 complaint affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed.
 summons and writ of attachment issued

" 20 summons returned showing due service of same

" 28 The defendant herein having been regularly
 served with summons and having failed to
 appear demur or answer the complaint on
 file herein the legal time for same having
 expired on motion of Plaintiff the default of
 said defendant of said defendant is hereby entered
 according to law.

Wherefore by reason of said default and the
 premises it is ordered adjudged and decreed
 that the Plaintiff Steve Truitt do have and recover
 of and from the defendant Bob Irving the sum
 of \$10.00 and costs taxed at Justice costs \$6.00
 Constables costs \$6.00

M. Strickton

Aug 28

Done in open court this 28 day of Aug 1894
 Execution issued

In the Justice's Court of

County of Fresno

State of California.

Township,

Jacob H. Kumbury

Plaintiff

vs.

E. J. Hill

Defendant

Action

Acct

Demand, \$

8.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 21 Complaint filed & summons issued
 " " summons returned showing service on E. J. Hill
 in 3rd Township Fresno County California this
 day and filed.

" 27 Defendant files an answer.

" " Case set for trial Sept 1 1894 at 10 am

Sept 1 Defendant files affidavit for continuance.

" " Case continued till Sept 3 1894 at 2 P M

" 3 This being the hour fixed for the hearing
 of this cause and the defendant failing
 to appear and after waiting one hour
 the court proceeded to hear the case
 Jacob H. Kumbury sworn & testified for Plaintiff.
 Judgment rendered for Plaintiff for \$8.00
 therefore it is ordered that Jacob H. Kumbury
 do have and receive of and from the
 defendant E. J. Hill the sum of \$8.00
 & costs tax & at

Justice costs 6.50

Constables costs 2.50

Minors fee 4.40

Done in open court this 3rd day of Sept 1894

W D Crichton

Justice of the Peace

Sept 12 Execution issued

" 21 Execution returned unsatisfied & filed.

" 22 Affidavit and order for examination of E. J. Hill
 the judgment debt on Sept 27 1894 at 2 P M
 returned duly served & filed.

Sept 27 Execution issued.

No. 123

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

R. Ruben and son
Partnership

Plaintiff

Action

Demand, \$

J. A. King

vs.

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1894

PROCEEDINGS.

April 20 complaint filed.

No. 124

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

		Action
RB Johnson	} Plaintiff	
vs.		Demand, \$ 63 24
M ^r Hawkins	} Defendant	Attorney for Plaintiff
		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1894

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 13 complaint filed

No. 125

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

R. B. Johnson		Plaintiff	Action.....
vs.			
F. S. Holt		Defendant	Demand, \$.....
			Attorney for Plaintiff
			Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1894

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 24 complaint filed

1895

Sep. 23 Summons issued.

Oct. 12 Summons returned showing service in 3rd 7th Oct. '95 filed

No. 126

In the Justice's Court of
County of Fresno

Third

Township,

State of California.

Action Accr

Wm Haag

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 8 00

vs.

Brick and Fisher

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1/8/94

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 1 Complaint filed and summons issued.
" 8 summons returned & filed
" 12 Defendants appear and answer by a general denial

No. 127

In the Justice's Court of 3rd Township,
County of Fresno State of California.

Action acct

Mrs M H Pounds

Plaintiff

Demand, \$ 44 00

vs.

Mrs C. M. Bury

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1/8/94

PROCEEDINGS.

DRC 1/8 Complaint fees. & ...

No. 128

In the Justice's Court of

3rd

Township,

County of Fresno

State of California.

Action Promissory note

John Scheid

Plaintiff

Demand, \$239.42

v/s.

Mc Dowell & Bros

John Mc Dowell

Defendants

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 1895-

PROCEEDINGS.

Jan 8 complaint filed.

No.

In the Justice's Court of Township,
County of State of California.

.....	{	Action
.....	
..... vs.		Plaintiff Demand, \$.....
.....	
.....	{	Attorney for Plaintiff
..... Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

No.

In the Justice's Court of Township,
County of State of California.

.....	{	Action
.....	
.....		Plaintiff Demand, \$.....
.....	
vs.	
.....		Attorney for Plaintiff
.....	
.....	Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

BLANK PAGES
SKIPPED

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

County of.

State of California.

Action

Plaintiff

Demand, \$

US.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

